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ABSTRACT

Background: Adolescent behavior is currently worrying, many adolescents have risky sexual behavior that can lead to pregnancy and HIV. School-based sexual education is given to adolescents to provide knowledge, understanding and prevention of sexual and reproductive health, including pregnancy and HIV among adolescents. The purpose of this study was to estimate the effect of school-based sexual education on the risk of pregnancy and HIV in adolescents based on the results of previous studies.

Subjects and Method: This study is a systematic and meta-analysis study. Article is analyzed by using a randomized controlled trial study design. Several databases were used, including PubMed, Science Direct, BMJ and Google Scholar. The keywords for the article search were “school-based sexual education” OR “school-based sex education” AND “human immunodeficiency virus” AND “unwanted pregnancy” OR “unintended pregnancy” AND adolescent AND “randomized controlled trial”. This study is a full-text article with a randomized-controlled trial design. Articles were collected using PRISMA Flow diagrams. Articles that met the inclusion criteria were analyzed using the Review Manager 5.3 application.

Results: There were 12 articles that fulfilled the criteria for a meta-analysis with 9 studies which showed the effect of school-based sexual education on increasing pregnancy prevention behavior by 1.04 times higher compared to non-school based sexual education (RR = 1.04; 95% CI= 0.95 to 1.13; p= 0.42) and 7 studies showed the effect of school-based sexual education on increasing HIV prevention behavior by 1.02 times higher compared to non-school based sexual education (RR= 1.02; 95% CI= 0.94 to 11; p=0.60).

Conclusion: School-based sexual education affected the behavior of preventing pregnancy and HIV among adolescents.
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development of their sexual and reproductive health, among others: unwanted pregnancy and HIV/AIDS infection (Triwahyudianingsih et al., 2012).

Globally, there are 2.2 million adolescents in the world who are infected with HIV (UNAIDS, 2020). Students in 14 secondary schools in the province of KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa have an HIV prevalence of 6.4% (Karim et al., 2014). In Indonesia, the number of AIDS sufferers from January to March 2017 was 673 people and 10,376 people were infected with HIV (Nindyastuti et al., 2018). HIV is a top-ranked infectious disease that causes death with high mortality and morbidity rates, and requires diagnosis and long-term therapy (Adita et al., 2017).

Another problem arises with unsafe adolescent sexual behavior is unwanted or planned pregnancies. This can lead to an increasing number of adolescents with premarital pregnancy. Premarital pregnancies tend to be unplanned, and unplanned pregnancies pose a risk of maternal and child morbidity and mortality (Martiniuk, 2003). Pregnancy occurs in 12 million female adolescent aged 15-19 years old and 777,000 girls under the age of 15 give birth each year (WHO, 2020). In the United States, there are 13% of pregnancies between the ages of 13-19 years old, and 80% of these pregnancies are unwanted (Leftwich et al., 2017). In Indonesia, the birth rate for adolescents aged 15-19 years old in 2020 is 47.37 per 1000 women (WHO, 2020). Complications during pregnancy and childbirth are the leading cause of death for girls aged 15-19 years old worldwide (UNFPA, 2018).

The health consequences are high for adolescent mothers and their babies, the risks are eclampsia, prematurity, low birth weight, increased mortality, intrapartum death, and miscarriage (Leftwich et al., 2017). Early sexual behavior can occur due to lack of knowledge of adolescents about sexual education or sexual and reproductive health education. Many adolescents who become pregnant are pressured or forced to drop out of school. These findings signal the need for effective sexual education for adolescents (Shanklin et al., 2007).

Several interventions have been carried out, one of them is to reduce the vulnerability of adolescents to STDs, including HIV, such as: preventive education in schools; services provided at youth centres, including condom distribution; youth-friendly health centers that encourage the use of prevention services; school-based health services; conditional cash transfers to encourage youth to stay in school or to avoid risky sexual behavior; various community-based interventions; and unconditional cash transfers (Mavedzenge et al., 2014). Knowledge is one component in the formation of someone's attitude. With insufficient knowledge, adolescents will tend to have negative attitudes about their sexuality (Triwahyuningsih et al., 2012).

Based on the high incidence of pregnancy and HIV, as well as the need for proper handling of these, the researchers were interested in studying the effect of school-based sexual education on the risk of pregnancy and HIV among adolescents. The data obtained would be analyzed using a systematic review and meta-analysis by synthesizing the results of primary studies involving school-based interventions on the risk of pregnancy and HIV in adolescents.

SUBJECTS AND METHOD
1. Study Design
The study design used in this study was a systematic review and meta-analysis, using the PRISMA diagram flow guidelines. Article searches were conducted by using jour-
nal databases, including PubMed, Research Gate, Science Direct, BMJ and Google Scholar. The keywords used are "school-based sexual education" OR "school-based sex education" AND "human immunodeficiency virus" AND "unwanted pregnancy" OR "unintended pregnancy" AND adolescent AND "randomized controlled trial".

2. Inclusion Criteria
In this study, the inclusion criteria were articles using a randomized controlled trial study design, articles were in English, the analysis used was bivariate with Relative Risk (RR), the study subjects were male and female adolescents, the intervention was school-based sexual education and the outcome was the risk of pregnancy and HIV.

3. Exclusion Criteria
The exclusion criteria in this study were articles published in full text before 2000 and in languages other than English.

4. Operational Definition
In formulating study problems, the researchers used PICO. The populations were male and female adolescents. Intervention was school-based sexual education, with a comparison of not given school-based sexual education.

Sexual education is an effort to provide knowledge to groups of adolescents about sexuality so that they can change their sexual behavior into a more responsible form.

Pregnancy is the outcome after being given a school-based sexual education intervention. Actions taken by adolescents in an effort to prevent pregnancy can be in the form of using condoms or hormonal contraception.

HIV is the outcome after receiving a school-based sexual education intervention. Actions taken by adolescents in an effort to prevent HIV can be in the form of using condoms or hormonal contraception.

5. Study Instrument
An assessment of the quality of research articles has been carried out by the CEBM University Of Oxford.

6. Data Analysis
The Review Manager application (RevMen 5.3) was used in analyzing the data in this study. The results of data analysis were in the form of effect size values and study heterogeneity which later on the results of the data that have been analyzed and interpreted in the form of forest plots and funnel plots.

RESULTS
The process of searching for articles was done by searching through a database with journals that can be seen in Figure 1. There were 1057 articles identified from the database, after the removal process of published articles, 709 of them fulfilled the requirements for a full text review. Articles were excluded for several reasons, therefore, 12 articles were included in the synthesis and meta-analysis studies.

Research from primary studies related to the effect of school-based sexual education on the risk of pregnancy and HIV in adolescents consisted of 12 studies from 7 countries on 3 continents, namely 3 studies from the Americas, 4 studies from the African continent, and 2 studies from the European continent.
Figure 1. Review Process Flowchart
Table 1. Assessment of Study Quality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Publication</th>
<th>Purpose/focus of study</th>
<th>RCT</th>
<th>Sample size (&gt;100)</th>
<th>Random Sample inclusion/exclusion</th>
<th>The two groups are comparable</th>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Objective measurement method</th>
<th>Relevant effect size</th>
<th>Estimation of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baird et al. (2012)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coyle et al. (2016)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kirby et al. (2004)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mathews et al. (2016)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morrisone et al. (2013)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephenson et al. (2008)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wight et al. (2002)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yakubu et al. (2015)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Espada et Jemmott et al. (2015)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walker et al. (2006)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Summary Source of School-Based Sexual Education on Pregnancy Prevention Behavior

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author (Year)</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Comparison</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baird et al. (2012)</td>
<td>Malawi</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>3796</td>
<td>School Adolescents</td>
<td>School Based Sexual Education</td>
<td>Education for Adolescents who are Dropout</td>
<td>The use of condom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cowan et al. (2010)</td>
<td>Zimbabwe</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>6791</td>
<td>School Adolescents</td>
<td>School Based Sexual Education</td>
<td>Community Based Education</td>
<td>The use of condom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coyle et al. (2016)</td>
<td>California, USA</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>527</td>
<td>School Adolescents</td>
<td>School Based Sexual Education</td>
<td>Non School Based Sexual Education</td>
<td>The use of condom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kirby et al. (2004)</td>
<td>Texas, USA</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>2134</td>
<td>School Adolescents</td>
<td>School Based Sexual Education</td>
<td>Adolescents Group</td>
<td>The use of condom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mathews et al. (2016)</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>3451</td>
<td>School Adolescents</td>
<td>School Based Sexual Education</td>
<td>Non School Based Sexual Education</td>
<td>The use of condom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morrison-Beedy (2006)</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>738</td>
<td>School Adolescents</td>
<td>Theory Based Sexual Education</td>
<td>Health Promotion</td>
<td>The use of condom</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Summary Source of School-Based Sexual Education on Human Immunodeficiency Virus Prevention Behavior

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Comparison</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Akmala et al.</td>
<td>(2013)</td>
<td></td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>527,460</td>
<td>School Adolescents</td>
<td>School Based Sexual Education</td>
<td>No School-Based Sexual Education</td>
<td>The use of condom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Espada et al.</td>
<td>(2015)</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>1563</td>
<td>School Adolescents</td>
<td>School Based Sexual Education</td>
<td>No School-Based Sexual Education</td>
<td>The use of condom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jemmott et al.</td>
<td>(2015)</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>1057</td>
<td>School Adolescents</td>
<td>School Based Sexual Education</td>
<td>No School-Based Sexual Education</td>
<td>The use of condom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mathews et al.</td>
<td>(2016)</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>3451</td>
<td>School Adolescents</td>
<td>School Based Sexual Education with Theory</td>
<td>No School-Based Sexual Education</td>
<td>The use of condom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morrison-Beedy et al.</td>
<td>(2013)</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>738</td>
<td>School Adolescents</td>
<td>Theory Based Sexual Education</td>
<td>Health Promotion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wight et al.</td>
<td>(2002)</td>
<td>Scotland</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>7616</td>
<td>School Adolescents</td>
<td>School Based Sexual Education with SHARE Program Comprehensive School Based Sexual Education</td>
<td>Normal class sexual education</td>
<td>The use of condom</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Summary Source of School-Based Sexual Education on Human Immunodeficiency Virus Prevention Behavior

- **Author (Year)**: The authors and the year of publication.
- **Location**: The location where the study was conducted.
- **Study Design**: The type of study design.
- **Sample Size**: The size of the sample.
- **Population**: The population studied.
- **Intervention**: The type of intervention provided.
- **Comparison**: The comparison group.
- **Outcome**: The outcome measured.

The table provides a summary of various studies that have investigated the effect of school-based sexual education on the risk of pregnancy and HIV infection. The studies are categorized based on their design, sample size, and the outcomes measured. The table highlights the use of condom as a common outcome measure across different studies.
1. The Effect of School-Based Sexual Education on Pregnancy Risk

The study identified from the review results obtained 9 articles that met the requirements as a source for a meta-analysis of the effect of school-based sexual education on the risk of pregnancy from 3 continents, including the Africa, America and the Europe.

a. Forest Plot

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study or Subgroup</th>
<th>log[Risk Ratio]</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Risk Ratio IV, Random, 95% CI</th>
<th>Risk Ratio IV, Random, 95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baird et al., 2012</td>
<td>-0.4463</td>
<td>0.1885</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>0.64 [0.48, 0.89]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cowan et al., 2010</td>
<td>0.1855</td>
<td>0.0644</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
<td>1.18 [1.04, 1.34]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coyle et al., 2006</td>
<td>0.1823</td>
<td>0.0633</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
<td>1.20 [1.08, 1.38]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kirby et al., 2004</td>
<td>0.1222</td>
<td>0.0523</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
<td>1.13 [1.02, 1.25]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matthews et al., 2016</td>
<td>-0.0305</td>
<td>0.0439</td>
<td>12.7%</td>
<td>0.97 [0.88, 1.06]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morrison-Beedy et al., 2013</td>
<td>-0.1278</td>
<td>0.0423</td>
<td>12.8%</td>
<td>0.88 [0.81, 0.98]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephenson et al., 2008</td>
<td>0.2776</td>
<td>0.0559</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
<td>1.32 [1.16, 1.50]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wight et al., 2002</td>
<td>-0.0202</td>
<td>0.0726</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
<td>0.98 [0.85, 1.13]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yakubu et al., 2019</td>
<td>-0.0408</td>
<td>0.0107</td>
<td>14.4%</td>
<td>0.96 [0.94, 0.98]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100.0% 1.04 [0.95, 1.13]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.01, Ch² = 62.83, df = 8 (p = 0.00001), I² = 87%
Test for overall effect Z = 0.80 (p = 0.42)

Figure 2. Forest Plot of the Effect of School-Based Sexual Education on Pregnancy Risk

Based on the results of the Forest Plot (Figure 2), it was shown that school-based sexual education has an effect of 1.04 times on the behavior of preventing pregnancy and it was not statistically significant (p = 0.42). The heterogeneity of the study data showed I² = 87% so that the distribution of the data was heterogeneous (random effect model).

b. Funnel Plot

Figure 3. Funnel plot of the effect of school-based sexual education on pregnancy risk
The funnel plot (Figure 3) showed no publication bias with: symmetrical plots on the right and plots on the left where 5 plots are on the left and 4 plots are on the right.

2. The effect of school-based sexual education on the risk of HIV

The study identified from the review results obtained 7 articles that met the requirements as a source for a meta-analysis of the effect of school-based sexual education on human immunodeficiency virus originating from 3 continents, including the African, the American and the European continent.

### a. Forest Plot

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study or Subgroup</th>
<th>log(Risk Ratio)</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Risk Ratio IV, Random, 95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coyer et al., 2006</td>
<td>0.1823</td>
<td>0.0633</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
<td>1.20 [1.06, 1.35]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Espejo et al., 2015</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>0.0393</td>
<td>17.5%</td>
<td>1.08 [1.00, 1.17]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jemmott et al., 2015</td>
<td>0.0583</td>
<td>0.0778</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
<td>1.06 [0.91, 1.23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matthews et al., 2016</td>
<td>-0.0305</td>
<td>0.0439</td>
<td>17.1%</td>
<td>0.97 [0.89, 1.06]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morris et al., 2013</td>
<td>-0.1278</td>
<td>0.0423</td>
<td>17.4%</td>
<td>0.88 [0.81, 0.95]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walker et al., 2006</td>
<td>0.0677</td>
<td>0.1174</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
<td>1.07 [0.85, 1.35]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wijth et al., 2002</td>
<td>-0.0202</td>
<td>0.0726</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
<td>0.98 [0.85, 1.13]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total (95% CI): 100.0% 1.02 [0.94, 1.11]

Heterogeneity: Tau^2 = 0.01; Chi^2 = 22.57, df = 6 (P = 0.0010); I^2 = 73%

Test for overall effect: Z = 0.53 (P = 0.60)

**Figure 4. Forest Plot of the Effect of School-Based Sexual Education on HIV Risk**

Based on the results of the Forest Plot (Figure 4), it showed that the effect of school-based sexual education was 1.02 times on HIV prevention behavior and was not statistically significant (p = 0.60). The heterogeneity of the research data showed I^2 = 73% so that the distribution of the data was heterogeneous(random effect model).

### b. Funnel Plot

**Figure 5. Funnel Plot of the Effect of School-Based Sexual Education on HIV Risk**
The funnel plot (Figure 5) showed no publication bias with: symmetrical plots on the right and plots on the left where 4 plots are on the right and 3 plots are on the left.

DISCUSSION

This systematic and meta-analysis study raised the theme of the effect of school-based sexual education on the risk of pregnancy and HIV. This study discussed data on behavior to prevent pregnancy and HIV in adolescents which were considered important because of the high rate of pregnancy and HIV among adolescents.

1. The effect of sexual education on pregnancy risk

The results of a meta-analysis of 9 articles on the effect of school-based sexual education on the risk of pregnancy are summarized in the forest plot. The forest plot in Figure 4.2 showed that school-based sexual education is 1.04 times more likely in increasing pregnancy prevention behavior in adolescents. This result was not statistically significant (RR = 1.04; 95% CI = 0.95 to 1.13; p = 0.42).

According to Mason-Jones et al. (2012), the school environment plays an important role in the socialization of adolescent development and as an appropriate place for interventions to promote adolescent sexual and reproductive health. Stephenson et al. (2008) stated that good health education can delay the desire for sexual activity and pregnancy prevention behavior. In line with Kirby et al. (2009), who stated that schools have become venues for many sexual and reproductive health programs which are considered to have successfully adapted from theories and aim to change attitudes, intentions, behaviors, and social norms through increasing knowledge and understanding about the risks of early sexual initiation, and the importance of contraceptive use.

and/or condoms. The CDC (2015) emphasizes that when condoms are used correctly and consistently, they can provide protection against pregnancy and disease. Consistent condom use is also important to reduce unplanned pregnancies, 35-40% of South African women have their first child at the age of 19, and most of them are unplanned pregnancies (Jewkes et al., 2010).

Taylor et al. (2014) revealed that the average value of pregnancy prevention behavior with the use of condoms significantly increased, but this did not have an impact on the consistency of condom use among adolescents for pregnancy prevention behavior. This inconsistent condom use among adolescents is consistent with the findings in the authors' meta-analysis which stated that there is no strong evidence for the effect of school-based sexual education on pregnancy prevention behavior in adolescents.

There was a statistically insignificant effect on the results of the meta-analysis conducted by the researchers in 9 primary studies. This indicates that there is less strong evidence of the effectiveness of school-based sexual education as an effort to prevent pregnancy in adolescents. Markham et al. (2012) revealed that conducting comprehensive sexual education can encourage delaying sexual intercourse, and provide information on pregnancy and disease prevention behaviors.

2. The Effect of Sexual Education on HIV Risk

The results of a meta-analysis of 7 articles on the effect of school-based sexual education on HIV risk were summarized in the forest plot. The forest plot in Figure 4.4 showed that school-based sexual education is 1.02 times more likely at increasing HIV prevention behavior in adolescents. This result was not statistically significant (RR =
1.02; 95% CI= 0.94 to 1.11; p= 0.60).

Schalett et al. (2011) emphasized on public health which showed that the pedagogical approach was effective in reducing the rates of pregnancy, STDs and HIV infection. In line with the findings of Kirby et al. (2006) which stated that comprehensive sexual education has better results in increasing the use of condoms in reducing sexual risk.

Mathews et al. (2016) stated that education provided to adolescents does not lead to a reduction in sexual behavior related to HIV prevention. School-based sexual education in adolescents can lead to improvements in knowledge and increased self-efficacy, however, it does not significantly affect infection risk behavior and high sexual risk (Shepherd et al., 2010). School adolescents in the intervention also had increased knowledge about HIV. The intervention did not significantly increase condom use, and the reason is not clear, although these results are similar to other South African school-based studies (Horrisson et al., 2016). Until this day, none of the greater school-based sexual education in adolescent HIV prevention in Africa has reduced the risk of developing HIV (Cowan et al., 2010). This is in line with this study, which showed that there is no strong evidence for the effect of school-based sexual education on HIV prevention behavior among adolescents.

There was a statistically insignificant effect on the results of the meta-analysis conducted by researchers in 7 primary studies. This indicated that there was less strong evidence of the effectiveness of school-based sexual education as an effort to prevent HIV behavior in adolescents. According to Mason-Jones et al. (2012), curriculum-based education encourages improved sexual and reproductive health outcomes for adolescents. In line with Jemmot et al. (2015) which stated that theory-based sexual education with adolescents has a long-term effect in preventing HIV behavior.
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